Red Cross Document & Arolsen Numbers

Irrelevant evidence?




Share

by J.A. Sexton & Weronika Kuzniar

We're going to examine two pieces of evidence which serve to exonerate the Nazis of the extermination claim. We are not experts in Hoax revisionism, unlike individuals such as Germar Rudolf and Arthur Butz, but we are capable of applying basic reasoning and common sense to evidence that is presented before us. In this post, we're going to look at two pieces of evidence in particular. But, before we begin, we are going to reiterate that the Jews bear the burden of proof regarding "extermination" during World War II, not the Germans. The Jews are the prosecution. And, frankly, the prosecution failed to prove their case at the IMT and NMT; hence, the birth of Hoax Revisionism beginning with Paul Rassinier.

With that out of the way, we can move on.

The Red Cross report:





COMITÉ INTERNATIONAL DE LA CROIX-ROUGE AGENCE CENTRALE DES PRISONNIERS DE GUERRE

Rappeler dans la réponse :

G.44/Sec JES/GB

GENÈVE, November 22, 1944.

Division d'Assistance Spéciale

Talag. "INTERCROIZEQUES

Strictly confidencial

Dear Mr. McClelland :

BERN

In reply to your letter of November 17, in which you asked us if a delegate of the International Committee of the Red Cross had succeeded in visiting the camp at Auschwitz, we are able to supply you with the following information:

It is a fact that one of our delegates was able to enter this camp. He approached the Commandant with a view to arranging a scheme of possible relief consignments for the civilian prisoners there. According to his impression, the camp was a type of "extensive concentration camp" where the detainees were compelled to do various kinds of work, including work outside the camp. Our delegate told us that he had not been able to discover any trace of installations for exterminating civilian prisoners. This fact corroborates a report which we had already received from other sources, i.e., that for several months past there had been no further exterminations at Auschwitz. At all events, this is not a camp containing exclusively Jews.


We are supplying you with this information personally and confidentially, because we obviously do not wish to publish the fact that this visit had been made. If it became known amongst the public, it might create the impression that the International Committee had means at their disposal of intervening in behalf of the detainees of this camp. Moreover, the Detaining Authorities might be tempted to assert that this visit by a delegate of the

Mr. Roswell McClelland
Special Assistant to the American Minister
American Legation
29, Alpenstrasse
Berne

./.


Conclusion #1: The Red Cross delegate was unable to confirm "extermination" facilities at Auschwitz in November 1944.

Conclusion #2: The Red Cross representative informs Roswell McClelland that his delegate's "on the ground" finding corroborates reports that had been received up to this time from other sources — sources which were obviously not credible thus necessitating McClelland's inquiry to the Red Cross in the first place — confirming that "no further exterminations" were taking place at Auschwitz. In fact, exterminations never took place at Auschwitz. These "other sources" were not believed by the Zionists, Allies, Vatican, etc., so, again: McClelland inquired to confirm that so-called "exterminations" were nothing more than Jewish/Polish wartime rumors (nearly all claims of alleged "exterminations" come from Polish and Jewish wartime sources). As such, this is an important anecdotal document insofar as it negates the Jewish "extermination" claims. When we combine this evidence with two other pieces of evidence: 1) the Soviets reconstructed the alleged Auschwitz "gas chamber" after the war, 1 and 2) the IMT and NMT extermination claims were upheld solely by eyewitness testimony² and confessions³, then we can reasonably conclude that the prosecution's case is at best shaky, and at worst, a Jewish-Allied conspiracy.









- 2 -International Committee is sufficient proof that the detainees of the camp were receiving good treatment. The means available to us are unfortunately far from being adequate enough to secure improvement in the treatment of civilian prisoners at Auschmitz, or in other concentration camps. We feel sure that you will understand our motives and that you will consider this information as confidential, except in respect of the United States Legation, to whom you may communicate the above, if you consider it necessary. Yours very sincerely, Thursy enberg

Records of the Red Cross - National Front (Switzerland (hautetfort.com)

The second piece of evidence we wish to present is the Arolsen *confirmed KZ* death figures ascertained in 1983:

Beurkundete Sterbefälle von Häftlingen ehemaliger deutscher Konzentrationslager beim

Sonderstandesamt AROLSEN

	bis 31.12.1982	vom 01.01.1983 bis 31.12.1983	insgesamt bis 31.12.1983
Auschwitz	53 606	27	53 633
Bergen-Belsen	6 851		6 851
Buchenwald	20 671		20 671
Dachau	18 451		18 451
Flossenbürg	18 330		18 330
Groß Rosen	8 355		8 355
Lublin	7 245	426	7 671
Mauthausen	78 823	; 1	78 824
Mittelbau	7 463	- 1	7 463
Natzweiler	4 431		4 431
Neuengamme	5 706		5 706
Ravensbrück	2 128	2	2 130
Sachsenhausen	5 012		5 012
Stutthof	11 237	1 065	12 303
Theresienstadt	27 260	344 -	27 604
Verschiedene	4 643		4 643
	280 212	1 865	282 077
COLUMN TO THE REAL PROPERTY.	-		

Zahl der Karteikarten in der Hauptkartei des Sonderstandesamtes über registrierte KL-Sterbefälle beim Sonderstandesamt und anderen Standesämtern zum 31.12.1983

373 468

(einschließlich Hinweiskarten für

 Todeserklärungen und Anfragen von Amtsgerichten betr. Todeserklärungen

- verschiedene Schreibarten von Familiennamen usw.)

Die Beurkundungszahlen des Sonderstandesamtes laßen keine Rückschlüsse auf die tatsächliche Zahl der Toten in den Konzentrationslagern zu.

Arolsen, den 16.01.1984

Der Standesbeamte

(Butterweck)

Aufschlußreicher Bescheid von 1984.

Jewish translation submitted to J.A. on X:

"The certification numbers do not allow for any conclusions about the actual numbers of the dead in the concentration camps."

This means that one can't conclude anything at all from this. So what was the point of painstakingly gathering these data for historians and the public? Just a meaningless exercise in Teutonic 'dumb-dumb'?

The above translation is incorrect.

Correct translation (yes, it makes a big difference):

"The certification numbers of the Special Registry Office do not allow conclusions to be drawn about the actual number of deaths in the concentration camps."

All the above is saying is that the Arolsen office does not allow conclusions to be made (deduced) regarding actual numbers of deaths in the KZs. The report does not say or even imply that these figures are inaccurate; the office is merely covering its 'assets': They are concerned that these figures may not reflect all KZ deaths, as some deaths could possibly have been unrecorded for any number of reasons. They don't want you walking away from this document with the idea that it is definitive (e.g., maybe more deaths could be discovered in future; doc is from 1983). Fact is: these are actual, verifiable, confirmed KZ deaths for all KZs during the war as of 1983.

And this leads us to our final conclusion, having read Arthur Butz's *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century* and Germar Rudolf's *The Rudolf Report*: these Arolsen numbers *are* more or less *definitive*. The Arolsen archive was careful not to provoke Jews with these numbers, so they ruled them inconclusive. A conservative statistical analysis reveals that 537,065 Jews were still alive in May 1945, if 245,000 are still alive as of January 23, 2024⁴,

assuming a constant 1% annual mortality rate (based on an initial population figure of 6 million before the war broke out in 1939). Germany had approximately 565,000 Jews. So, as Butz concludes, nearly all alleged Hoax deaths were non-German Jews. Convenient, no? This is why the Jews are "missing" from the Arolsen data: because the alleged 6 million were from Poland, the USSR, Hungary, etc., etc. Since the postwar Jewish population problem was/is a complicated one for a variety of reasons, which Butz explains and accounts for, we won't get into that here. There's no need; Butz has done it already. Suffice it to say that the "missing" Jews were still alive upon war's end. They never "went missing" in the first place; hence, the "we all went up in smoke" thesis. Jewish bodies and/or remnants of bodies were never found, and will never be found[§], for the simple reason that they don't exist. The Jews overwhelmingly survived the war and this is precisely why the IMT and NMT relied exclusively on confessions and eyewitness testimony to "prove" the Hoax.

The full, correct translation of the Arolsen document is as follows:

Documented Deaths of Inmates of Former German Concentration Camps at the

Special Registry Office in Arolsen

Number of index cards in the main file of the Special Registry Office about registered concentration camp deaths at the Special Registry Office and other registry offices as of 31.12.1983

373,468

(including reference cards for death declarations and inquiries from district courts concerning death declarations

various spellings of family names, etc.)

The certification numbers of the Special Registry Office do not allow conclusions to be drawn about the actual number of deaths in the concentration camps.

Arolsen, 16.01.1984

The Registrar

[SIGNATURE]

(Butterweck)

Informative notice from 1984.

In closing, one cannot trust people that write the following about death figures in World War II:

"It is best when referencing the total number of victims of the Holocaust to say 6 million Jews and millions of others. All Holocaust organizations are making a united move to adhere to this message, and we must maintain a consistent record as a center of Holocaust education." [6]

1

The fate of the gas chamber after the occupation by the Soviet Union in June 1940 is UNCLEAR. The gas chamber and crematorium were RECONSTRUCTED AFTER THE WAR. Most extermination camp gas chambers were DISMANTLED OR DESTROYED in the last months of World War II as Soviet troops approached, except for those at Dachau, Sachsenhausen and Majdanek [ALL 3 OF THESE ARE DEBUNKED]. One destroyed gas chamber at Auschwitz was reconstructed after the war to stand as a memorial. [How convenient.]

2

"Why Science Tells Us Not to Rely on Eyewitness
Accounts," https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/do-the-eyes-have-it/

"The Confessions," https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/frontline/documentary/the-confessions/

<u>4</u>

See https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/unprecedented-demographic-report-on-holocaust-survivors-indicates-approximately-245-000-jewish-survivors-alive-globally-302041188.html

<u>5</u>

"Archaeologists Delicately Dig Up Nazi Death Camp Secrets at Treblinka," https://www.nbcnews.com/science/science-news/archaeologists-delicatelydig-nazi-death-camp-secrets-treblinka-n66241. "Eyewitness accounts from survivors and captured guards brought the true story to light: One camp at Treblinka was primarily a forced-labor facility. Another camp was designed specifically for herding thousands of victims at a time into one side of a "bathhouse," where they were gassed to death with carbon monoxide exhaust from tank engines." All this woman "discovered" was a few bones with cut marks on some of them. This is supposed to prove that 900,000 Jews were systematically gassed and buried at Treblinka. There is a reason why Jews will not allow full excavation here. Just read: "At three widely separated sites, the investigators dug down to find bones from previously unknown mass graves on the grounds of the labor camp." So, these bones were not found on/in the Jewish-identified sites, but at different ("unknown") sites. As such, we have no idea when these bones got here or why. It gets better. Read on, dear friends: "Sturdy Colls is accustomed to crime scene investigations where the evidence is handed over to pathologists for further study and final disposition. This case was different: The archaeologists' prime directive was to disturb the remains as little as possible." In other words: Let's just bury this right back up and say whatever we want about what we found here. No forensic or scientific analysis is necessary to try and establish time, location and/or cause(s) of death. That will remain a mystery to protect the sacred 'Caust.

See https://www.ilholocaustmuseum.org/holocaust-misconceptions/